

E.I.S. RECOMMENDATION – E.I.S. SUBMITTED

PL 03. 239378

1. To: Planning Officer / Deputy Planning Officer / Senior Inspector

From:

[Signature] S.E.O.

Date:

15/9/11

This application was lodged with planning authority on 19/5/11.
Accordingly, the Planning and Development Regulations, 2001 ~~do~~ do not apply.

An EIS has been submitted. Please recommend whether or not the EIS complies with the requirements of article 94 and Schedule 6 of the Planning and Development Regulations, 2001.

The activity ~~is~~ is not licensable under the EPA Act, 1992, as amended/ Waste Management Act, 1996, as amended. The developer ~~has~~ has not been requested to confirm the licensing status with the EPA.

2.—Planning Officer/Deputy Planning Officer

In my view, the EIS

☒ complies

☐ does not comply for the reasons set out in the attached memo with the requirements of the above Regulations.

☐

I consider that further information (set out in attached memo) is necessary to enable the Board to determine the appeal. I recommend that this information should be requested under section 132 of the Planning and Development Act, 2000.

Senior Inspector:

[Signature]

Date:

30/11/11

see assessment Section 1 + 9.

3. Section S.A.O. and or Board Member (if applicable)

☒

I agree with the above recommendation.

☐

I disagree with the above recommendation for reasons set out in the attached memo.

Planning Officer/Deputy Planning Officer:

[Signature]

Date:

1/12/11

E.I.S. RECOMMENDATION – E.I.S. SUBMITTED

PL _____

1. *Tα* Planning Officer / Deputy Planning Officer / Senior Inspector

From: _____ *S.E.O.* *Date:* _____

This application was lodged with planning authority on _____.
Therefore, the EC (EIA)(Amendment) Regulations, 1999 and the Local
Government (Planning and Development) Regulations, 1999 do/do not apply.

☐ An EIS has been submitted. Please recommend whether the EIS complies with the
requirements of article 58 of the 1994 Regulations and article 25 of the 1989 EIA
Regulations or article 25 of the 1999 EIA Amendment Regulations.

The activity is/is not licensable under the Environmental Pollution Agency Act, 1992/The
Waste Management Act, 1996.

2. Planning Officer/Deputy Planning Officer

☐ (a) In my view the EIS complies/does not comply with the requirements of
the Regulations for reasons set out in the attached memo.

☐ (b) I recommend that further information (detailed over) necessary to
enable the Board to determine the appeal should be requested under
section 10 of the 1992 Act.

Senior Inspector: _____ *Date:* _____

3. Section, Deputy Chairman (via Inspectorate E.Q. to record assessment)

☐ I agree with the above recommendation.

☐ I disagree with the above recommendation for reasons set out in the attached memo.

Planning Officer/Deputy Planning Officer: _____

Date: _____